

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,124	07/12/2001	DuWayne C. Radke	56908US002	1697
32692	7590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			GREEN, CHRISTY MARIE	
	IN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/904,124	RADKE ET AL.	RADKE ET AL.		
\\	Examiner	Art Unit	\ \ \		
	Christy M Green	3635	$ W_{i}\rangle$		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress V		
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a Ition in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the con	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriate of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 November 2004</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			t forth in		
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,11-14,17 and 20</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6,7,10,15,16,18 and 19.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1	, ,		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Exampiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	[2n]			
10. Other:	2	Carl D. Friedman ervisory Patent Exa Group 3600	miner		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: After reviewing applicants remarks, It has been found that the issues raised within the arguments, would require further consideration and an updated search prior to this application being in condition for allowance.